arXiv:1608.04702v3 [math.AT] 14 Oct 2016

COMPLEX ORIENTATIONS FOR THH OF SOME PERFECTOID FIELDS

JACK MORAVA

Abstract. This sketch argues that work of Hesselholt [8] on the topological Hochschild homology of $\mathbb{C}_p$ extends, using work of Scholze [15, 24] on flat descent, to define complex orientations for a version of topological Hochschild homology for rings of integers in a certain class of generalized cyclotomic perfectoid fields; and that the resulting spectra provide geometrically interesting targets for analogs of the Chern character, defined for certain integral lifts [19] of the extraordinary K-functors of chromatic homotopy theory.

Introduction

The bear went over the mountain
to see what he could see ...

This is an account of work in progress: an attempt to understand how recent work of Lars Hesselholt on the topological Hochschild homology of the p-adic complex field $\mathbb{C}_p$, and generalizations of that work by Peter Scholze to perfectoid fields, might be connected to chromatic homotopy theory.

A Lubin-Tate formal group law F_L for a local number field L (essentially unique [§2.1] in the étale topology) has, according to Quillen, an associated Hirzebruch genus

$$M\mathrm{U}^*(\mathrm{pt}) \to \mathfrak{o}_L[u]$$

(where $\mathfrak{o}_L$ is the valuation ring of L, with a degree two indeterminate u included to account for grading by dimension). Whether or how such a genus captures any 'geometric' information is a natural question.

These notes concern the (multiplicative, complex-orientable, generalized Eilenberg-MacLane) cohomology theories $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})$ defined by Hesselholt and Madsen's p-adic topological Hochschild homology for the valuation ring of the (perfectoid) completion of the maximal totally ramified abelian extension L_{ab} of L defined by the torsion points of F_L. I argue [§3.2.1] that there is an orientation for $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})$ $(= \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}))$ which fits in a commutative

Date: October 31 2016.

diagram



in which the vertical arrow is a morphism of algebras over the twisted group ring $\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}\langle \mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L)\rangle$ (acting naturally on $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}})$, and via Artin reciprocity ($\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L) \cong \mathfrak{o}_L^\times \ni \sigma : u \mapsto \sigma^{-1}u$) on the target of the top arrow): where γ is a generator (following Scholze [§1.2.4]) for the symmetric algebra $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})^*(\mathrm{pt}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, and π_0 is a certain torsion point on the formal group.

In the end this paper is an attempt to apply the work of others to chromatic homotopy theory; its main technical point [§2.2.3] is that a (special) Lubin-Tate formal group law

$$z, z' \mapsto z +_L z'$$

for a local number field L has an interesting 'renormalization'

$$z, z' \mapsto z +_{L*} z' := \pi_0^{-1}(\pi_0 z +_L \pi_0 z')$$

of additive type (where π is a uniformizer for L, $\pi + \pi_0^{q-1} = 0$, and q is the residue field cardinality) which seems to be closely related to the formal group associated to Hesselholt and Madsen's p-adic topological Hochschild homology for the integer ring of the maximal totally ramified abelian extension of L defined by F_L. One paraphrase of the results below might be that the formal groups associated as above to the Hesselholt-Madsen spectra are closely related to the rigid analytic version of Lubin-Tate groups constructed by Schneider and Teitelbaum [§4]. Behind all this lies the hope that the geometry of smooth varieties over $\mathbb{C}_p$ and its interesting subfields may shed light on questions from classical differential topology originating with complex cobordism and its relation to chromatic homotopy theory.

Acknowledgements I am deeply indebted to Lars Hesselholt for his generous help and patient willingness to tolerate iterated attempts to misunderstand his work. The reader should keep in mind that these notes are preliminary, speculative and very possibly confused, and that such confusion is my own responsibility. I owe similar thanks to Peter Scholze for explaining some of his work to me, and I hope I have not garbled it.

I also owe thanks to Matthias Strauch for calling my attention to work of Schneider and Teitelbaum on p-adic Fourier theory, to Akhil Mathew and Jacob Lurie for conversations about the descent properties of THH, and Andy Baker for insightful comments about the conjecture of Segal in §1.2.

§1.1 A toy analog of one of Hesselholt's results

Among other things, Hesselholt calculates the algebraic K-theory (with p-adic coefficients) of the p-adic analog $\mathbb{C}_p$ of the familiar field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, in particular by studying the (Dennis) trace (ring) homomorphism to a suitable p-adic version $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ of the topological Hochschild homology of the valuation ring $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$.

1.1.1 This section sketches the construction of a ('phenomenological', in the language of physics) analog, for classical complex topological K-theory, of Hesselholt's trace. This provides an excuse for reviewing classical material about p-adic Adams/Atiyah/Quillen/Sullivan/Galois operations, as well as an opportunity to establish some notation. Interpreting Hesselholt's map as a sharpening of the classical Chern character is the main theme of this section, and I am very much indebted to Andrew Baker for explaining to me that this story is considerably deeper than I had orginally understood. Hesselholt's results themselves, and related more recent work of Scholze, are discussed in the section after this.

We will need to distinguish classical **connective** topological complex $k^*_{\mathbb{C}}$-theory, represented by the Ω-spectrum $\mathbb{Z} \times B\mathrm{Gl}^+(\mathbb{C})$ constructed from the monoidal topological category of finite-dimensional complex vector spaces, from **periodic** $K^*_{\mathbb{C}}$-theory, constructed as a localization

$$K^*_{\mathbb{C}}(-) \cong \beta^{-1} k^*_{\mathbb{C}}(-)$$

by inverting multiplication with the Bott element $\beta \in k^{-2}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{pt})$. The Chern character

$$\mathrm{ch} : k^*_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \hat{\otimes} k^*_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{pt})$$

is a natural transformation of multiplicative cohomology theories, characterized by its behavior on the H-space

$$B\mathbb{T} \simeq \mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq H(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \ :$$

we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k^*_{\mathbb{C}}(B\mathbb{T}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}} & H^*(B\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Q}) \hat{\otimes} k^*_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{pt}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathbb{Z}[\beta][[z]] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}[\beta][[c]] \end{array}$$

of completed Hopf algebras, with z of cohomological degree two, such that $1 - \beta z \in k^0_{\mathbb{C}}(B\mathbb{T})$ maps to the image in $K^0_{\mathbb{C}}(B\mathbb{T})$ (under Atiyah's map from the complex representation ring of the circle $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$) of

$$[\mathbb{C}(1)] : u \in \mathbb{T},\ v \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto u \cdot v \in \mathbb{C}\ ;$$

this corresponds to the tautological line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ (dual to the Hopf line). The bottom arrow sends $1 - \beta z$ to $\exp(-\beta c)$, with $c \in H^2(B\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Z})$

the usual primitive generator defined by the Euler class of the Hopf bundle. Thus

$$z \mapsto \beta^{-1}(1 - \exp(-\beta c)) \; (:= \beta^{-1} \exp_{\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m}(\beta c) \;)$$

is the coordinate for a formal group structure on $k^2_{\mathbb{C}}(B\mathbb{T})$, with comultiplication

$$\Delta_{\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m}(z) = z \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z - \beta \cdot z \otimes z$$

corresponding to $\Delta[\mathbb{C}(1)] = [\mathbb{C}(1)] \otimes [\mathbb{C}(1)]$ in the representation ring.

On a finite complex the p-adically completed functor

$$k^*_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) := k^*_C(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$$

can be defined as the tensor product with the p-adic integers [2], then extended by taking inverse limits; inverting the Bott element then defines $K^*_{\mathbb{C}}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. More generally, following [8 §2.1], we can define the p-adic completion of a spectrum X as the object of maps $[M(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_p, -1), X]$ associated to the appropriate Moore spectrum. This is a homotopy-theoretic analog of Tate's functor

$$A \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_p, A) := \mathrm{Tate}\; A$$

on (discrete) abelian groups. If, for example, $\mu(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the subgroup of torsion points, then $\mathrm{Tate}\,\mu(\mathbb{C}) := \hat{\mu}(\mathbb{C})$ is a free rank one $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module (usually denoted $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ when regarded as a module over the automorphisms of $\mu(\mathbb{C})$); the image of the composition

$$B\mu(\mathbb{C}) \to B\mathrm{Gl}_1(\mathbb{C}) \to B\mathrm{Gl}^+(\mathbb{C})$$

maps to the class in $K^0_{\mathbb{C}}(B\hat{\mu}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}_p)$ defined, as above, by the tautological bundle in $K^0_{\mathbb{C}}(B\mathbb{T})$. An identification $B\mathbb{T} \simeq \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ defines a generator of $\pi_2(B\mathbb{T})$, and thus of $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$, as the image under π_2 of the inclusion $S^2 = \mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^\infty$.

1.1.2 Galois automorphisms The multiplicative monoid $\mathbb{Z}^\times$ acts as automorphisms of the representation ring of a finite group [27 II §12.3]; this extends to an action of its p-adic completion [26 Ch II §3]

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu_{p-1} \longrightarrow {\mathbb{Z}_p}^\times \xrightarrow{\log} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 1$$

on the p-adic completion $K^0_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ of topological complex K-theory. Regarding the quotient as the pro-Sylow p-subgroup splits this sequence, so we can write ${\mathbb{Z}_p}^\times \ni \sigma = \tilde{\sigma} \cdot \sigma_0$, with $\tilde{\sigma}$ a root of unity and $\sigma_0 \equiv 1 \bmod p$ [13 Ch 4 §3].

Work in the 1970s of of Quillen, Sullivan, and many others on Adams' conjectures revealed that this action is a manifestation of an action of the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}$ on the profinite completion of the K-theory spectrum $\mathbb{Z} \times B\mathrm{Gl}^+(\mathbb{C})$, which factors through the abelianization

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{ab}} \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\mu(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \; .$$

In particular if $\sigma \in {\mathbb{Z}_p}^\times \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (where $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}$ is the extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ obtained by adjoining all p-power roots of unity), then

$$1 - (\beta z)^\sigma = (1 - \beta z)^\sigma$$

with the right side interpreted in terms of the binomial theorem [35]. This extends to an action on the **graded** ring $k^*_{\mathbb{C}}(B\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ by $\beta^\sigma = \sigma \cdot \beta$ with

$$z^\sigma = z + \sum_{k \geq 2} \sigma^{-1} \binom{\sigma}{k} (-\beta)^{k-1} z^k \ ;$$

alternately, $(1 - \beta z)^\sigma = \exp(-\sigma\beta c) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\beta][[c]]$: this action is compatible with both completed Hopf algebra structures.

Because the Galois group acts nontrivially on $K^0_{\mathbb{C}}(S^2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, extending its action by σ to the graded functor $K^*_{\mathbb{C}}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ involves a rescaling, by a factor of σ^{-k} in degree $2k$. This will be considered more generally in §2.2.1.]

1.1.3 The theory of local number fields (ie locally compact completions of extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$) will play an important role in these notes, and we need some notation. In particular, the (tamely ramified Galois) extension $\mathbb{Q}_{p*} \supset \mathbb{Q}_p$ defined as the splitting field of the equation

$$x^{p-1} + p = 0$$

will play an important role, and it will convenient to fix a root $x = p_0$. In general, let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be a fixed algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p$: this is a field with a nondiscrete valuation, normalized so that $\mathrm{ord}(p) = 1$; the field $\mathbb{C}_p$ of p-adic complex numbers is its completion. I'll write $\mathfrak{o}_K$ for the valuation ring of a valuated field K, and $\mathfrak{m}_K$ for its maximal ideal (principal if K is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$): thus $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = p\mathbb{Z}_p$. I will sometimes write $\mathbb{Z}_{p*}$ for $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}$.

Examples: $\mathrm{ord}(p_0) = (p-1)^{-1}$, and $\mathbb{Q}_{2*} = \mathbb{Q}_2$ (because $2_0 = -2$).

Proposition: *There is a natural ring homomorphism*

$$k^*_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) \to H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}_{p*}[\gamma]$$

(in which γ has degree $+2$) characterized on $B\mathbb{T}$ by a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k^*_{\mathbb{C}}(B\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & H^*(B\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Z}) \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}_{p*}[\gamma] \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathbb{Z}_p[\beta][[z]] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{p*}[\gamma][[\kappa]] \end{array}$$

of completed Hopf algebras, in which

$$\beta \mapsto p_0\gamma, \ z \mapsto (p_0\gamma)^{-1}(\exp(p_0\gamma c) - 1) := \kappa$$

and

$$\Delta(\kappa) = \kappa \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \kappa + p_0\gamma \cdot \kappa \otimes \kappa \ .$$

Inverting β defines a ring homomorphism

$$K^*_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) \to H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{Q}_{p*}[\kappa^{\pm 1}] \cong H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}_{p*})\hat{\otimes}K^*_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{pt}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

essentially equivalent to the classical periodic (p-adic) Chern character.

Proof: This is really an assertion about arithmetic, not homotopy theory: the point is that, by Legendre,

$$\exp_{\hat{\mathbb{G}}_{m*}}(z) := p_0^{-1}(\exp(p_0 z) - 1) = \sum_{n \geq 1} p_0^{\alpha_p(n)-1} \frac{z^n}{(n!)_{\neg p}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p*}[[z]]$$

(where $\alpha_p(n) = \sum n_k$ when $\sum n_k p^k$ is the p-adic expansion of n, and $(n!)_{\neg p}$ is a p-adic unit) has p-adically integral coefficients. The natural transformation above is then defined by the symmetric function

$$\prod_{i=1}^{i=\infty} ((z_i\gamma)^{-1} \exp_{\hat{\mathbb{G}}_{m*}}(z_i\gamma)) \in H^*(B\mathrm{U}, \mathbb{Z}_{p*}[\gamma])$$

(which goes back to early work of Landweber and Novikov (if not Hirzebruch) on cobordism theory).

Remarks:

1) The homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_{p*}$ is flat, but $\beta \to p_0\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_p[\beta] \to \mathbb{Z}_{p*}[\gamma]$ isn't.
2) Any connective multiplicative complex oriented cohomology theory has a canonical associated integral analog of the Chern character: the Hurewicz morphism

$$M\mathrm{U} = M\mathrm{U} \wedge S^0 \to M\mathrm{U} \wedge H\mathbb{Z}$$

(ie the total characteristic number homomorphism) is a universal example.
3) A $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module with compatible $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$-action is, alternately, a module over the group ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[{\mathbb{Z}_p}^\times]$ (whose completion is a version of Iwasawa's algebra, but we won't need that). Similarly, $H^*(B\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{Z}_{p*}[\gamma])$ is an algebra over the **twisted** group ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p*}\langle{\mathbb{Z}_p}^\times\rangle$, with $\sigma \in {\mathbb{Z}_p}^\times$ acting by $p_0^\sigma := \sigma_0 \cdot p_0$, $\gamma^\sigma := \tilde{\sigma} \cdot \gamma$, consistent with $\beta \to p_0\gamma$, $z \to \kappa$: thus defining an isomomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p*} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[\beta][[z]] \to \mathbb{Z}_{p*}[\gamma][[\kappa]]$$

of completed Hopf algebras with $\mathbb{Z}_{p*}\langle\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}/\mathbb{Q}_p)\rangle$ action.

1.1.4 Conventions for gradings: That complex orientable cohomology theories E have interesting associated formal group laws goes back to Novikov in the 1960s, but there can be subtleties in applying the algebraic literature in homotopy theory; in particular, the formal groups $E^2(B\mathbb{T})$ encountered in topology (as in §1.1.1) are defined over **graded** commutative rings. If E is even-periodic then $E^2(B\mathbb{T}) \cong E^0(B\mathbb{T})$ and we can sweep many issues under some rug [31], but cohomology operations related to homomorphisms of formal groups (ie automorphisms vs isogenies) require some discussion, postponed till §2.2 below. This subsection is about more elementary, essentially notational, matters.

So: a (one-dimensional) formal group law F, over a commutative ring C, can be defined to be a group object Spf H_F in the category of formal schemes over Spec C, together with an identification of that object with the formal affine line $\mathbb{A}_C$ over C (ie the functor sending a C-algebra to its ideal of nilpotent elements). The formal group law F thus corresponds to the algebra $H_F := C[[z]]$ with comultiplication

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C[[z]] & \xrightarrow{\Delta_F} & C[[z]]\hat{\otimes}_C C[[z]] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ C & \xrightarrow{=} & C \end{array}$$

defined by $\Delta_F(z) = F(z \otimes 1, 1 \otimes z) = z \otimes 1 +_F 1 \otimes z$. Morphisms of formal group laws over C are then morphisms of formal affine lines over C compatible with such comultiplications.

More general morphisms of such group objects can be defined by allowing a change of base; for example, if $\psi : C \to B$ is a homomorphism of commutative rings, and if H_F is as above, then the (completed) Hopf B-algebra $H_{\psi^* F} := B \otimes_C H_F$ defines a formal group law $\psi^* F$ over B, with comultiplication

$$\Delta_{\psi^* F} : B \otimes_C H_F \to B \otimes_C (H_F \hat{\otimes}_C H_F) \to (B \otimes_C H_F)\hat{\otimes}_B (B \otimes_C H_F) \ .$$

It is straightforward to extend these definitions to (commutative) **graded** rings:

Definition A group law F as above defines a (completed) graded Hopf algebra $H_{\mathsf{F}} := C[u][[z]]$, where now u has degree -2 and z has degree $+2$, while

$$\mathsf{F}(z, z') := u^{-1} F(uz, uz') \in C[u][[z, z']] \ .$$

Note that u need not be a unit for this definition to make sense.

When working with graded commutative rings, eg A, I'll write Sp A for the affine spectrum of the underlying ungraded ring, regarded as an object with an action of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$, instead of using the language of projective schemes. For example

$$\psi : B = \mathbb{Z}_p[\beta] \to \mathbb{Z}_{p*}[\gamma] = C$$

defines a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathsf{G}}_{m*} = \psi^* \mathsf{G}_m = \text{Spf } H_{\mathsf{G}_{m*}} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \text{Spf } H_{\mathsf{G}_m} = \hat{\mathsf{G}}_m \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Sp } \mathbb{Z}_{p*}[\gamma] & \xrightarrow{\beta \to p_0 \gamma} & \text{Sp } \mathbb{Z}_p[\beta] \end{array}$$

of group objects and homomorphisms. This can be paraphrased as asserting the existence of an isomorphism

$$\kappa \mapsto \gamma^{-1} \exp_{\hat{\mathbb{G}}_{m*}}(\gamma c) := \mathbf{exp}_{\hat{\mathsf{G}}_{m*}}(c) : \hat{\mathsf{G}}_a \to \hat{\mathsf{G}}_{m*}$$

of graded formal groups over Sp $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^*}}[\gamma]$. After rationalizing this is equivalent to the graded version of the classical exponential map from $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a$ to $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$.

§1.2 Hesselholt's work on THH of $\mathbb{C}_p$, and related work of Scholze

1.2.1 In [25] Segal showed that

$$X \mapsto (1 + \prod_{n>0} H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}))^\times$$

is the degree zero component of a cohomology functor represented by a generalized Eilenberg - MacLane spectrum $\mathrm{gl}_1(H\mathbb{Z})$, and he asked if the total Chern class

$$V \mapsto \sum_{k\geq 0} c_k(V)t^k := c_t(V)$$

of a vector bundle could come from a morphism $k_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathrm{gl}_1(H\mathbb{Z})$ of spectra. [The target of this total Chern class is a version of the Witt ring functor applied to $H^{2*}(-, \mathbb{Z})$, which admits a compatible commutative ring structure, suggesting further questions ...] Snaith [29], using properties of the stable trace, argued that this is not so, but later Boyer, Lawson, Lima-Filho, Mann, and Michelsohn [5] constructed a new [33, 34] multiplicative structure on $\prod_{n>0} H(\mathbb{Z}, 2n)$ which receives the total Chern class as a morphism of spectra.

I believe it is useful to think of Hesselholt's work on the algebraic K-theory of $\mathbb{C}_p$ as providing a positive answer to a p-adic analog of Segal's question, with some similarities to the construction sketched above. However, this paper is about related questions coming from algebraic number theory, and I bring this up mostly to motivate those questions.

1.2.2 Earlier work of Hesselholt and Madsen associates to a discretely valued field K (with valuation ring $A \subset K$ and perfect residue field $A \to k$), a topological Hochschild homology spectrum $\mathrm{T}(A|K)$ (with logarithmic poles in the sense of Rognes at k); it is, at least p-locally, the homotopy cofiber of a morphism $\mathrm{T}(k) \to \mathrm{T}(A)$ [7 §1.5.6], and in these notes I will abbreviate $\mathrm{T}(A|K)$ to $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(A)$. These spectra are furthermore endowed with a powerful and subtle (cyclotomic) $\mathbb{T}$-action (which will be (alas) ignored in these notes), as well as an associated cyclotomic/Dennis trace morphism

$$k(K) \to \mathrm{T}(A|K)$$

of ring spectra. These constructions can be generalized, eg to fields with nondiscrete valuation, by taking colimits [8 (Introduction)]. The topological Hochschild homology of classical rings is accessible by methods [eg MacLane homology [14 §1]] of classical homological algebra, and $\mathrm{T}(A|K)$ is, for such rings, a generalized Eilenberg - MacLane spectrum [16 §4].

1.2.3 In [8 Prop 2.3.1] Hesselholt shows that the p-adic completion (as in §1.1.1) $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p})^*(\mathrm{pt}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a polynomial algebra over $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ on a generator of homological degree two, and he identifies the trace

$$k(\mathbb{C}_p)^*(\mathrm{pt}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}[\beta_p] \to \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p})^*(\mathrm{pt}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

as the ring homomorphism sending the Bott-Thomason class β_p (coming from $\mu \subset {\mathbb{C}_p}^\times = K_1(\mathbb{C}_p)$) to the product of a generator with the algebraic number $(\zeta_p - 1)$ (where ζ_p a primitive pth root of unity). More precisely, he shows that $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p})^*(\mathrm{pt}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the symmetric $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$-algebra generated by the Tate module $\mathrm{Tate}\ \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p})$ of the Kähler differentials of $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (cf §3.1): which he identifies, as $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$-module, with the fractional ideal $(\zeta_p - 1)^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$. This resembles the situation in §1.1, for both $(\zeta_p - 1)$ and p_0 have p-order $(p-1)^{-1}$ (cf §2.1.1) and so differ by multiplication by the unit $u_p := (\zeta_p - 1)^{-1}p_0$ of $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$.

In fact the composition [8 Prop 3.1.1]

$$\eta_p : B\mu \to B\mathrm{Gl}_1(\mathbb{C}_p) \to B\mathrm{Gl}^+(\mathbb{C}_p)$$

defines a $\mathbb{C}_p$-analog of the Hopf class in $k(\mathbb{C}_p)^0(B\hat{\mu}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ which (after correcting for components) defines a class z_p whose image κ_p under the cyclotomic trace τ satisfies

$$\Delta\kappa_p = \kappa_p \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \kappa_p + p_0\gamma_p \cdot \kappa_p \otimes \kappa_p\ ,$$

where γ_p is u_p times Hesselholt's logarithmic differential [5 lemma 2.4.1]. In other words, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spf}\ \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p})^*(B\hat{\mu}, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathrm{Spf}\ k(\mathbb{C}_p)^*(B\hat{\mu}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Sp}\ \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}[\gamma_p] & \xrightarrow{\beta_p \to p_0\gamma_p} & \mathrm{Sp}\ \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}[\beta_p] \end{array}$$

of (graded, completed) Hopf algebras very much like that described in §1.1.3.

1.2.4 These notes have grown out of a suspicion that many of Hesselholt's results about $\mathbb{C}_p$ might generalize to a much wider class of perfectoid p-adic fields: in particular, to the completions of maximal totally ramified abelian extensions L_{ab} of local number fields, as described in §2.1 below. In helpful correspondence [*pc 1-7-16*] Hesselholt suggested that this was known to experts (Scholze, Bhatt ...), which Scholze very kindly confirmed:

Theorem of P Scholze: *If P is a perfectoid p-adic field, its (reduced?) p-adic topological Hochschild homology ring is isomorphic to the symmetric $\mathfrak{o}_P$-algebra on the Tate module of the Kähler differentials $\Omega(\mathfrak{o}_P)$ of $\mathfrak{o}_P$ over the ring of p-adic integers.*

[The **proof** [*pc 6-8-16*] begins with a calculation of the André-Quillen homology of $\mathfrak{o}_P$, and thus of its classical Hochschild homology as the divided power

algebra on Tate $\Omega(\mathfrak{o}_P)$. The Hochschild to topological Hochschild spectral sequence is then constrained by Hesselholt's results on $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$, implying that the differentials in this spectral sequence are all surjective ...]

1.2.5 Homotopy-theoretic prospectus This suggests possible connections with certain integral lifts of the periodic cohomology functors indexed by (one-dimensional) formal groups over finite fields [19]. The spectra representing these functors $K(n)$ are localizations of Baas-Sullivan constructions (ie generalized Koszul complexes in the category of MU-module spectra [17]), and similar constructions in the category of $M\mathrm{U} \otimes \mathfrak{o}_L$-modules define (weakly) commutative multiplicative cohomology functors $k(L)^*$ such that $k(L)^*(\mathrm{pt}) \cong \mathfrak{o}_L[u]$, with $k(L)^*(B\mathbb{T})$ isomorphic to a graded version of a (special, cf §2.2.2) Lubin-Tate formal group for L. The reduction

$$K(L)^*(X \wedge M(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, 0))$$

modulo p of the periodic localization

$$K(L)^*(X) := k(L)^*(X) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L[u]} \mathfrak{o}_L[u^{\pm 1}]$$

of $k(L)$ is then isomorphic to $K(n)^*(X, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k_L$.

This leads to the construction (§3.4) of a natural transformation

$$k(L) \to \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

of (weakly) multiplicative cohomology theories, which is equivariant, when evaluated on $B\mu$, with respect to the Artin reciprocity homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{o}_L^\times \to \mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L)$$

regarded as mapping automorphisms of a Lubin-Tate group for L to the natural action of the Galois group on the (modified) topological Hochschild homology of $\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}$. After periodic localization, this transformation becomes a base-extended version

$$K(L)^*(X) \to \gamma_L^{-1} \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})^*(X, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

of the Chern-Dold character for $K(L)$ (with a suitable $\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}$-module generator $\gamma_L \in \mathrm{Tate}\,\Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})$).

The rest of this paper consists of a review (§2) of Lubin-Tate theory (with minor generalizations to graded rings) and the construction of abelian extensions of local fields, which is then used in §3, following Fontaine, to calculate the Kähler differentials of these fields: to which the results of Scholze are then applied. This seem to have interesting parallels with work of Schneider and Teitelbaum on p-adic Fourier analysis, which is summarized briefly in §4.

II Graded formal groups

In this section we associate to a uniformized local field (L, π), three variants $F_{L*}, \mathsf{F}_L, \mathsf{F}_{L*}$ of its special Lubin-Tate group F_L, each with its own kind of complex multiplication. This is basically a matter of notation for similar objects in different contexts.

§2.1 Recollections

2.1.1 Conventions for local fields In general (otherwise too many things would be called K) I will write L for a local field, Galois of dimension $n = [L : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, with valuation normalized as in §1.1.3. The maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_L = (\pi_L)$ of the (local) valuation ring $\mathfrak{o}_L \subset L$ is generated by an element $\pi := \pi_L$ which will be fixed **once and for all**: then $n = ef$ with $\mathrm{ord}_L(\pi) = e^{-1}$, while the residue field $k_L := \mathfrak{o}_L/\mathfrak{m}_L$ has cardinality $q = p^f$. Furthermore, L contains a maximal unramified subfield $W(k_L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (obtained by adjoining the $(q-1)$th roots of unity to $\mathbb{Q}_p$ if $f > 1$). When $L = W(k_L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is unramified, I'll take π to be p.

Using this notation, $L_* := L(\pi_0) \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ will be the splitting field for the equation

$$x^{q-1} + \pi = 0$$

over L, and $x = \pi_0$ will be a chosen root, with p-order $e^{-1}(q-1)^{-1}$; I'll reserve the notation p_0 for a $(p-1)$th root of $-p$, as above. The Galois group

$$\mathrm{Gal}(L_*/L) \ni \sigma \mapsto \pi_0^\sigma/\pi_0 \in \mu(k_L) \subset W(k_L)^\times$$

is cyclic of order $q-1$.

2.1.1 A **Frobenius series** [13 Ch 8 §1]

$$[\pi]_F(z) = \pi z + \cdots + z^q + \cdots \in \mathfrak{o}_L[[z]]$$

by definition satisfies $[\pi](z) \equiv z^q$ modulo π, as well as $\equiv \pi z$ modulo higher powers of z. To such a series corresponds a unique (Lubin-Tate) formal group law

$$F(z, z') := z +_F z' = z + z' + \cdots \in \mathfrak{o}_L[[z, z']]$$

together with an isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{o}_L \ni a \mapsto [a]_F(z) \ (= az + \cdots \in \mathfrak{o}_L[[z]])$$

of $\mathfrak{o}_L$ with the ring $\mathrm{End}(F)$ of formal endomorphisms (defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$) of the group law F; this group law is characterized by

$$[\pi]_F(z) = [\pi]_F(z) \ .$$

The composition law $z, z' \mapsto z +_L z'$ makes the maximal ideal $\overline{\mathfrak{m}} := \mathfrak{m}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ of $\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ into an $\mathfrak{o}_L$-module

$$F(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}) = (\overline{\mathfrak{m}}, +_F)$$

with torsion submodule isomorphic to $L/\mathfrak{o}_L$. The Tate module

$$T_F := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_p, F(\overline{\mathfrak{m}})) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_p, L/\mathfrak{o}_L)) \; (= \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_p, F(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}_p}))$$

of F is free of rank one over $\mathfrak{o}_L$.

2.1.2 Definition Given two choices $[\pi]_{F_0}$, $[\pi]_{F_1}$ of Frobenius series as above, there is a canonical isomorphism $\phi_1^0 : F_0 \to F_1$ such that

$$\phi_1^0(F_0(z,z')) = F_1(\phi_1^0(z), \phi_1^0(z')), \; \phi_1^0 \circ [\pi]_0 = [\pi]_1 \circ \phi_1^0 \; .$$

Adjoining the torsion elements of $F(\overline{\mathfrak{m}})$ to L defines **a** maximal totally ramified abelian extension $\mathbb{C}_p \supset L_{\mathrm{ab}} \supset L$, independent (by the remark above) of the choice of Frobenius series – but which **will** depend on π.

Lubin-Tate groups defined by different choices of π become isomorphic over the valuation ring of $L \otimes_{W(k_L)} W(\bar{k}_L)$ [13 Ch 8 §3], but that will not be needed here. The full maximal abelian extension of L is the composite of **the** maximal **un**ramified abelian extension (defined by adjoining roots of unity of order prime to p) with some L_{ab}.

The action of the absolute Galois group extends to a continuous action on $\mathbb{C}_p$; similarly, the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L)$ on L_{ab} extends to a continous action on the (completed, perfectoid) field $\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}$. I owe thanks to Scholze for explaining to me that complete filtered colimits of perfectoid fields are perfectoid, as are finite extensions; hence $\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}} \supset \mathbb{Q}_{p,\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}$ is perfectoid [24].

Example: If $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$)(and $p > 2$), let $[p]_0(z) = pz + z^p$ and $[p]_1(z) = 1 - (1-z)^p$: then F_1 is the formal multiplicative group $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$, whose torsion points define the field $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}$ of p-power roots of unity over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The simplest nontrivial torsion point of F_0, as in §1.1.2, is a root p_0 of $z^{-1}[p]_0(z) = 0$, ie

$$p_0^{p-1} + p = 0 \; .$$

In this case then ϕ_1^0 is closely related to the Artin-Hasse exponential; it takes p_0 to $\zeta_p - 1$ for a pth root ζ_p of unity, so $\mathbb{Q}_p(p_0) = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p) \subset \mathbb{C}_p$.

A choice of isomorphism of the p-power roots of unity in $\mathbb{C}_p$ with $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_p$ defines an isomorphism $T_{\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, but in general $T_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \cdot t_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ has no preferred generator.

2.1.3 Logarithms and exponentials The limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{-n} \cdot [p^n]_F(z) := \log_F(z) = z + \cdots \in L[[z]]$$

exists [9 Ch 8 §6] and satisfies

$$\log_F(z +_F z') = \log_F(z) + \log_F(z') \; ;$$

it can also be defined by the (continuous, translation-invariant) Kähler differential

$$\omega_F := d\log_F(z) = \log_F'(z)\, dz = F_{z'}(z,z')^{-1}|_{z'=0} \in \Omega^1_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(\mathfrak{o}_L[[z]]) \; .$$

The inverse power series

$$\log_F^{-1}(z) := \exp_F(z) = z + \cdots \in L[[z]]$$

then defines a homomorphism $z \mapsto \exp_L(z)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{o}_L[[z]] & \xrightarrow{\exp_F} & L[[z]] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathfrak{o}_L & \xrightarrow{\subset} & L \end{array}$$

of completed Hopf algebras – or, alternately

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\mathbb{G}}_{a/L} & \xrightarrow{\exp_F} & F_L \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \operatorname{Spec} L & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{o}_L \end{array}$$

of formal group schemes. I'll also write

$$\log_{\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m}(z) := \log(1+z),\ \exp_{\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m}(z) = \exp(z) - 1\ .$$

2.1.4 Artin reciprocity $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L)$ acts on $F(\overline{\mathfrak{m}})$ by $\mathfrak{o}_L$-module automorphisms, sending the torsion subgroup into itself. This defines a version

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L) \to \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L)^{\mathrm{ab}} \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(L/\mathfrak{o}_L)$$

of the Artin reciprocity map [13 Ch 8 §2]: more precisely, it defines an isomorphism

$$\varkappa_F : \mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L) \to \mathfrak{o}_L^\times$$

with the maximal compact group of units of L, such that if $\alpha \in F(\overline{\mathfrak{m}})$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L)$ then

$$\alpha^\sigma = [\varkappa_F(\sigma)]_F(\alpha)\ ;$$

for example, $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L)$ acts on T_F as multiplication by $\varkappa_F(\sigma)$.

If $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$ then $\varkappa_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is a version of the cyclotomic character. If $L_0 \subset L_1$ is Galois, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L_0) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gal}(L_1/L_0) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varkappa_{L_1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varkappa_{L_0}} & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & (L_1^\times)\hat{} & \xrightarrow{N} & (L_0^\times)\hat{} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gal}(L_1/L_0)^{\mathrm{ab}} & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

(with $N = N_{L_0}^{L_1}$ the norm, and profinite completion denoted by a caret $\hat{}$) commutes. In particular $\varkappa_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\sigma) = N_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^L(\varkappa_L(\sigma))$ if $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L)$.

To simplify notation below, it will sometimes be convenient to suppress the symbol $\varkappa_F$, and to take $\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L) \to \mathfrak{o}_L^\times$ to be the identity. In hope of alleviating confusion I'll write Galois actions as right superscripts, and use

ordinary left multiplication for $\mathfrak{o}_L$-module actions, for example abbreviating the expression above to

$$\alpha^\sigma = [\sigma]_F(\alpha) \ .$$

Similarly, $N^L_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mapsto N$.

§2.2 Graded Lubin-Tate groups

2.2.1 Proposition *If $\sigma \in \mathfrak{o}_L^\times$ acts on $\mathfrak{o}_L[u]$ by $u^\sigma := \sigma \cdot u$, and on $z \in \mathfrak{o}_L[u][[z]]$ by*

$$z \mapsto z^\sigma := \|\sigma\|_F(z) := \sigma^{-1} \cdot u^{-1}[\sigma]_F(uz) \ ,$$

then this extends to an action of the group $\mathfrak{o}_L^\times$ to the associated graded Lubin-Tate group F by automorphisms of graded completed Hopf algebras.

Proof: This is just a recapitulation of the constructions in §1.1.3: the point is that

$$(z^\sigma)^\tau = (\sigma^{-1}u^{-1}[\sigma]_F(uz))^\tau = \tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}u^{-1}[\sigma]_F(\tau u \cdot \tau^{-1}u^{-1}[\tau]_F(uz)) =$$

$$(\sigma\tau)^{-1}u^{-1}[\sigma\tau]_F(uz) = z^{\sigma\tau} \ .$$

Remark: It is significant that **isogenies** of (classical, ungraded) formal groups define **un**stable cohomology operations (ie which do **not** commute with suspension [1, 12, 22, 30 ...]) while **automorphisms** can be 'renormalized', as above, to define **stable** cohomology operations.

2.2.2 Definition Let F_L be the **special** Lubin-Tate group [13 Ch 8 §1], ie with

$$[\pi]_L(z) := \pi z + z^q \ .$$

I'll then write $\log_L$, $\exp_L$, ω_L, $[a]_L \ldots$ rather than $\log_F$, $\exp_F$, etc, and I'll write $z, z' \mapsto z +_L z'$ for its composition law. [Note [13 Ch 8 §2.3] that if $\sigma \in W(k_L)^\times$ is a root of unity, then $[\sigma]_L(z) = \sigma z$.]

Following §1.1.5, F_L is the graded formal group over $\mathfrak{o}_L[u]$ defined by rescaling F_L, with $\mathfrak{o}_L^\times$ action defined by $u^\sigma = \sigma \cdot z$,

$$\sigma, z \mapsto z^\sigma = (\sigma u)^{-1}[\sigma]_L(uz) := \|\sigma\|_L(z) \ ;$$

similarly, let

$$\mathbf{log}_L(z) := u^{-1}\log_L(uz), \ \mathbf{exp}_L(z) := u^{-1}\exp_L(uz) \ .$$

But since F_L is special, the prime-to-p subgroup (isomorphic, by Teichmüller [13 Ch 4 §3], to $k_L^\times$) acts **trivially** on F_L, so this action factors through the pro-p-Sylow subgroup $\mathfrak{o}_L^\times(p)$ of $\mathfrak{o}_L^\times$.

Alternately, writing $S^*_A(B)$ for the graded symmetric algebra of an A-module B, we can describe F_L as the graded completed group object

$$\mathrm{Spf} \ \ S^*_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(T_L)[[z]] \to \mathrm{Sp} \ \ S^*_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(T_L) \ ,$$

with $\sigma \in \mathfrak{o}_L^\times$ acting as multiplication on T_L, and by $z \mapsto \|\sigma\|_L(z)$ on the formal group.

2.2.3 Proposition/Definition: *The formal group law F_{L*} over $\mathfrak{o}_{L*}$ such that*

$$F_{L*}(z,z') = \pi_0^{-1}(\pi_0 z +_L \pi_0 z') \ ;$$

is of additive type, with

$$\log_{L*}(z) = \pi_0^{-1}\log_L(\pi_0 z),\ \exp_{L*}(z) = \pi_0^{-1}\exp_L(\pi_0 z) \in \mathfrak{o}_{L*}[[z]]$$

and complex multiplication

$$[\sigma]_{L*}(z) = \pi_0^{-1}[\sigma]_L(\pi_0 z) \ .$$

Proof: Evidently

$$[\pi]_{L*}(z) := \pi_0^{-1}[\pi]_L(\pi_0 z) = \pi(z - z^q) \equiv 0$$

modulo π_0. Now $[\pi]_L$ satisfies an Eisenstein equation

$$[\pi]_L^e +_L \cdots +_L [p]_L = 0$$

(with coefficients from $W(k_L)$) in the ring (isomorphic to $\mathfrak{o}_L$) of formal endomorphisms of F_L, so, similarly,

$$[\pi]_{L*}^e +_{L*} \cdots +_{L*} [p]_{L*} = 0$$

in the ring of endomorphisms of F_{L*}. But $[\pi]_{L*} \equiv 0 \bmod \pi_0$, so $[p]_{L*} \equiv 0 \bmod \pi_0$, as well.

Remark: Both $\log_{L*}(z)$ and $\exp_{L*}(z)$ are **rigid analytic**, ie represented by series $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n z^n$ with $\mathrm{ord}(a_n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ (cf [13 Ch 8 §6 lemma 4]. Similarly, Honda's logarithm [10 §5.3]

$$\log_H(z) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \pi^{-k} T^{q^k}$$

has

$$\log_{H*}(z) = \pi_0^{-1}\log_H(\pi_0 z) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \pm\pi^{[k](q)-k} T^{q^k} \in \mathfrak{o}_L[[z]]$$

(where $[k](q) = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{k-1}$).

2.2.4 Definition The pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{F}_{L*} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{F}_L \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{o}_{L*}[u] & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{o}_L[u] \end{array}$$

of F_L over $\mathfrak{o}_L[u]$ to F_{L*} over $\mathfrak{o}_{L*}[u]$, along $u \to \pi_0 u$ (alternately: the graded version of F_{L*}), is a graded formal group law

$$\mathsf{F}_{L*}(z,z') := (\pi_0 u)^{-1} F_L(\pi_0 u z, \pi_0 u z')$$

of additive type, with $\mathbf{exp}_{L*}(z) := (\pi_0 u)^{-1}\exp_L(\pi_0 uz)$ and $\mathbf{log}_{L*}(z) := (\pi_0 u)^{-1}\log_L(\pi_0 uz)$ having p-adically integral coefficients. F_{L*} inherits an action of $\mathfrak{o}_L^\times$ by completed Hopf algebra automorphisms $u^\sigma = \sigma\cdot u$,

$$z \mapsto \|\sigma\|_{L*}(z) = (\pi_0 u)^{-1}[\sigma]_L(\pi_0 uz)\ ;$$

Moreover $\mathfrak{o}_L^\times$ acts on π_0 through $\mu(k_L)$ as in §1.1.2, and

$$\sigma\,\mathbf{log}_{L*}(z) = \mathbf{log}_L(\|\sigma\|_{L*}(z)),\ \mathbf{exp}_{L*}(\sigma z) = \|\sigma\|_{L*}(\mathbf{exp}_{L*}(z))$$

for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{o}_L^\times(p)$.

Example In this formalism, $k^*_{\mathbb{C}}(B\mathbb{T},\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (as in §1.1.4) can be described as a completion

$$S^{-*}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Tate }\mu_{\mathbb{C}})\hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}S^*_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(T_{\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m})$$

of the graded module of homomorphisms from $S^*_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Tate }\mu_{\mathbb{C}})$ to $S^*_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(T_{\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m})$. [NB, $T_{\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m} \neq T_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is not special.]

2.2.5 Proposition *The composition*

$$z \mapsto \log_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}(\exp_{L*}(z)) = z + \cdots \in \mathfrak{o}_{L*}[[z]]$$

defines an isomorphism

$$F_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}} \mathfrak{o}_{L*} \to F_{L*}$$

of formal group laws of additive type over $\mathfrak{o}_{L*}$. Similarly,

$$z \mapsto \mathbf{log}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}(\mathbf{exp}_{L*}(z))$$

defines an isomorphism of graded formal group laws over $\mathfrak{o}_{L*}[u]$.

Remark An analogous construction defines such isomorphisms for general inclusions $L_0 \subset L_1$, but we won't need this.

2.2.6 Definition An element $\Phi \in \mathfrak{o}^\times_{L_{\widehat{\text{ab}}}}$ is **remarkable** if, for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L)$,

$$\sigma(\Phi) = (\varkappa_L(\sigma)\varkappa^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\sigma))\cdot\Phi\ (:= \tilde{\varkappa}_L(\sigma)\Phi)\ ;$$

or, equivalently, if $\sigma \mapsto \Phi^{-1}\sigma(\Phi)$ equals the character $\tilde{\varkappa}_L(\sigma)$ $[= \sigma\cdot N(\sigma)^{-1}$, using the conventions of §2.1.4] of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L)$.

Proposition *Such an element defines an isomorphism*

$$z \mapsto \log_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}(\Phi\exp_{L*}(z)) := \phi(z) = \Phi z + \cdots \in \mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\text{ab}}}}[[z]]$$

of $F_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}$ with F_{L*} (after a base-extension to $\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\text{ab}}}}$); and similarly, for

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}(z) := \mathbf{log}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}(\Phi\,\mathbf{exp}_{L*}(z))$$

in the graded context. Moreover, if $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L)$ then

$$\phi^\sigma(z)\ (= (\phi(z))^\sigma) = [\sigma]^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\phi([\sigma]_{L*}(z)))$$

and similarly

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}^\sigma = \|\sigma\|_{L*}\circ\boldsymbol{\phi}\circ\|\sigma^{-1}\|_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}\ .$$

Proof The first assertion follows from the second by taking $u = 1$. By definition we have

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}(z) = (p_0 u)^{-1} \log_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\pi_0^{-1} p_0 \, \Phi \, \exp_L(\pi_0 u z))$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}^\sigma(z) = (p_0 u)^{-1} \log_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\rho_0 \, \Phi^\sigma \, \exp_L(\pi_0 u z)) \, ,$$

where $\rho_0 = \pi_0^{-1} p_0 \in \mathfrak{o}_{L*}$ is invariant under $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L_*)$; see the remarks below. But now

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}^\sigma(z) = (p_0 u)^{-1} \log_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\rho_0 \cdot (N\sigma)^{-1}\sigma \cdot \Phi \cdot \exp_L(\pi_0 u z)) =$$

$$= (p_0 u)^{-1} [\sigma]_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\log_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\rho_0 \Phi \exp_L([\sigma]_L(\pi_0 u z)))) =$$

$$(p_0 u)^{-1} [\sigma]_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(p_0 u \cdot (p_0 u)^{-1} \log_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(p_0 u \cdot \Phi \cdot (\pi_0 u)^{-1} \exp_L((\pi_0 u) \cdot (\pi_0 u)^{-1}([\sigma]_L(\pi_0 u z)))))$$

$$= \|\sigma\|^{-1}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}(\mathbf{log}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}(\Phi \, \mathbf{exp}_{L*}(\|\sigma\|_{L*}(z)))) = (\|\sigma\|_{L*} \circ \boldsymbol{\phi} \circ \|\sigma^{-1}\|_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}})(z) \, .$$

Remarks: 1) Note that

$$\mathrm{ord}(\rho_0) = (p-1)^{-1} - e^{-1}(q-1)^{-1}$$

and that

$$\rho_0^{q-1} + \pi^{-1}(-p)^{[f](p)} = 0$$

(where $(-1)^{[f](p)} = (-1)^f$ if p is odd, and $= 1$ if $p = 2$).

2) Inclusion of the roots of unity defines an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu \longrightarrow \mathfrak{o}^\times_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}} \xrightarrow{\Phi \mapsto \Phi_0} (1 + \mathfrak{m}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}})^\times \longrightarrow 1$$

of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L)$-modules. It follows that if Φ is remarkable, then so is Φ_0: for

$$(\Phi_0)^\sigma = (\Phi^\sigma)_0 = (\tilde{\varkappa}_L(\sigma)\Phi)_0 = \tilde{\varkappa}_L(\sigma)\Phi \, ,$$

3) $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L_*)$ acts on the abelian group $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}}(\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}, \mathsf{F}_{L*})$ of homomorphisms (defined over $\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$) of graded formal groups. Similarly, the group $\mathfrak{o}_L^\times$ of 'complex multiplications' acts on F_{L*}, and (via the norm to $\mathfrak{o}^\times_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$) on $\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}$ as well. The proposition above can thus be paraphrased as asserting that, after a base change to $\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}$, a remarkable Φ defines a Galois-equivariant homomorphism of $\mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}$ with F_{L*}. Of course this begs the question of the existence of such elements, but see §3.4.1 below.

III Fontaine's Kähler differentials

3.1 Following [6 Theorem 1], a homomorphism

$$[u : \mathfrak{o}_L[[z]] \to \mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}] \in F(\overline{\mathfrak{m}})$$

sends the invariant differential $\omega_L \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(\mathfrak{o}_L[[z]])$ of §2.1.3 to $u^*\omega_L$ in the module $\Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p})$ of relative Kähler differentials. If u_n is a π^n-torsion point of $F_L(\overline{\mathfrak{m}})$, and $\alpha = \alpha_n \pi^n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ with $\alpha_n \in \mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$, then Fontaine proves the

Theorem *The (well-defined) homomorphism*

$$\alpha, u_n \mapsto \alpha_n \cdot u^*\omega_L \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p})$$

defines a surjective $\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}\langle \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L)\rangle$*–semilinear homomorphism*

$$\xi_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} T_L \to \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}) \to 0$$

with $\pi_0^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} T_L$ *as kernel.*

Remark: Fontaine's theorem involves fields $K_0 \subset E \subset K$ ($\subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$); but here these fields will all be taken to be identical (ie equal to L); the Dedekind different $\mathfrak{d}_{K/K_0}$ therefore does not appear in the theorem stated here. Similarly, the rank one $\mathfrak{o}_L$-module of invariant differentials has been omitted because the choice of a logarithm for F_L assigns it a canonical generator. Note that when $\mathfrak{o}_K = \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \mathbb{Z}_p$, I will omit the subscript in $\Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_K}(\mathfrak{o}_L)$.

Applying the Tate functor to

$$(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\pi_0^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} T_L \cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p})$$

then yields a semilinear isomorphism

$$\pi_0^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} T_L \cong \mathrm{Tate}\ \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)\ .$$

Example When $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$ we have Fontaine's Theorem 1' (part ii):

$$p_0^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \otimes T_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong \mathrm{Tate}\ \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p})\ .$$

Claim Looking again at the definition above, it is clear that the restriction $\xi_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}$ of $\xi_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ to $L_{\mathrm{ab}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} T_L$ maps this module to $\Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})$. Further examination of the proof [6 §2] of the theorem shows that, with essentially no changes in the argument, $\xi_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}$ defines a (semilinear) isomorphism

$$(L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}/\pi_0^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} T_L \cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})$$

of $\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}\langle \mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L)\rangle$-modules.

Example Taking $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$ yields an isomorphism

$$p_0^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}} \otimes T_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong \mathrm{Tate}\ \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}})\ .$$

3.2.1 Fontaine's Lemma 4 (§2.4),asserts that if $K \subset L \subset M$ are finite separable extensions of K (contained in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$), then the sequence

$$0 \to \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_K}(\mathfrak{o}_L) \to \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_K}(\mathfrak{o}_M)$$

of relative Kähler differentials is exact. From this it follows that for general $L \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ (ie not necessarily finite over K) the sequence

$$0 \to \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_K}(\mathfrak{o}_{K'}) \to \mathrm{colim}_{L \supset K' \supset K}\ \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_K}(\mathfrak{o}_{K'}) := \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_K}(\mathfrak{o}_L)$$

is similarly exact: where the colimit is taken over finite extensions K' of K contained in L. The lemma therefore remains true for general $K \subset L \subset M \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$; for

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_K}(\mathfrak{o}_L) = \bigcup_{L \supset K' \supset K} \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_K}(\mathfrak{o}_{K'}) \subset \bigcup_{M \supset K'' \supset K} \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_K}(\mathfrak{o}_{K''}) = \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_K}(\mathfrak{o}_M) \ .$$

From this it follows that the obvious inclusion induces a monomorphism

$$0 \to \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}) \to \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}) \ ;$$

but $\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ is flat over $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}$, so

$$0 \to \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}} \mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \to \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p})$$

will also be injective. The general Jacobi-Zariski sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}} \mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} & \longrightarrow & \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & & & \\
\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/p_0^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/p_0^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} & & & &
\end{array}$$

then implies that $\Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}) = 0$, and hence (since

$$0 \to \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}) \to \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}) = 0$$

is injective) that $\Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}) = 0$.

3.2.2 Proposition

$$0 \to \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}} \mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}} \to \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}) \to \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}) = 0$$

is exact, implying an isomorphism

$$p_0^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}} \otimes T_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Tate}\ \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}) \ .$$

On the other hand the exact sequence of differentials for $\mathbb{Q}_p \subset L \subset L_{\mathrm{ab}}$, together with §3.1.2 above, implies an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_L) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} \mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}} & \longrightarrow & \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathfrak{o}_L/\mathfrak{d}_L) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} \mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}} & \longrightarrow & L_{\mathrm{ab}}/\pi_0^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_L\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}} & \longrightarrow & L_{\mathrm{ab}}/\pi_0^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}} \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

(with $\mathfrak{d}_L = (d_L) \subset \mathfrak{o}_L \ni d_L$ being the absolute Dedekind different of L. [Having fixed a uniformizer π, satisfying an Eisenstein equation $E(\pi) = 0$ with $E(T) \in W(k_L)[T]$, we can take $d_L = E'(\pi)$.] We thus have the

3.2.3 Proposition

$$\mathrm{Tate}\ \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_L\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} T_L \ .$$

Similarly:

3.2.4 Proposition *The inclusion* $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}} \to \mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}$ *induces a homomorphism*

$$p_0^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} T_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \longrightarrow \pi_0^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_L\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} T_L$$

which factors through an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Tate}\ \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}} \mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Tate}\ \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})$$

of $\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}\langle \mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L)\rangle$*-modules.*

3.2.5 Proposition *Similarly, The inclusion* $\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}} \to \mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ *induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Tate}\ \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}} \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \cong \pi_0^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_L\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} T_L \to p_0^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \otimes T_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong \mathrm{Tate}\ \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p})$$

of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L)$*-modules, essentially inverse to the isomorphism of the previous proposition.*

More precisely, their composition is a $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$-equivariant automorphism of $p_0^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \otimes T_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, ie multiplication by a unit of $\mathbb{Z}_p*$.

3.3 Summary In light of Scholze's calculation [§1.2.4], the inclusions $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}} \subset L_{\mathrm{ab}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ thus define a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}})^*(B\mu,\mathbb{Z}_p) & & & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow & & & \\
\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})^*(B\mu,\mathbb{Z}_p) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}})^*(B\mu,\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}} \mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}} & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p})^*(B\mu,\mathbb{Z}_p) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})^*(B\mu,\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}} \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}})^*(B\mu,\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}} \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}
\end{array}$$

of completed Hopf algebras; or, alternately (in abbreviated notation similar to §1.2.3), a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Spf}\ \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p})(B\mu,\mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spf}\ \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})(B\mu,\mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spf}\ \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}})(B\mu,\mathbb{Z}_p) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{Sp}\ \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p})(\mathrm{pt},\mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}\ \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})(\mathrm{pt},\mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}\ \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}})(\mathrm{pt},\mathbb{Z}_p)
\end{array}$$

of (ind-)groupschemes (consistent with flat descent [4, 15 Th 7.1] for THH).

The image of the p-adic Hopf class $\tilde{\kappa}_p \in k^0(\mathbb{C}_p)(B\mu,\mathbb{Z}_p)$ under the trace map is $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}})$-invariant, and so pulls back to p_0 times a coordinate

$\tilde{\kappa}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} := p_0^{-1}\kappa_p$ for $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}})^*(B\mu, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. In the notation of §1.1.4 this can be restated as a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathsf{G}_{m*/\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{G}_{m*/\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{G}_{m*/\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{Sp}\ \ \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}[\kappa_p] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}\ \ \mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}[\tilde{\kappa}_L] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}\ \ \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}[\tilde{\kappa}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]
\end{array}$$

of graded formal groups of additive type.

3.4.1 The inverse of the map in §3.2.3 defines a homomorphism

$$T_L = \mathfrak{o}_L u \to \pi_0 \mathfrak{d}_L^{-1} \mathrm{Tate}\ \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}) \cong \pi_0^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_L \mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}$$

of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L)$-modules, sending u to $\pi_0^{-1} d_L \vartheta_L(u)$ for some remarkable element of $(1+\mathfrak{m}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}})^\times$. The induced homomorphism on symmetric algebras then fits in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S^*_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(T_L) = \mathfrak{o}_L[u] & \longrightarrow & S^*_{\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}}(\pi_0^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_L^{-1}\mathrm{Tate}\ \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle u\mapsto \pi_0 u} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u\mapsto d_L u} \\
\mathfrak{o}_{L*}[u] & \longrightarrow & S^*_{\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}}(\mathrm{Tate}\ \Omega(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})) \cong \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})^*(\mathrm{pt}, \mathbb{Z}_p)\ .
\end{array}$$

Proposition *The coordinate transformation*

$$z \mapsto \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_L(z) := (p_0 u)^{-1} \exp_{\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m}(\rho_0 \vartheta_L(u) \log_L(\pi_0 u z))$$

(as in §2.2.6) defines a $\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L)$*-equivariant commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Spf}\ \ \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})^*(B\mu, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{F}_{L*} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{F}_L \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{Sp}\ \ \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})^*(\mathrm{pt}, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}\ \ \mathfrak{o}_{L*}[u] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sp}\ \ \mathfrak{o}_L[u]
\end{array}$$

of completed graded Hopf algebras.

3.4.2 Following §1.1.3, the symmetric function

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \prod_{1\le i\le p^k} z_i^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_L(z_i) \in \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})^0(B\mathrm{U})$$

defines a morphism $M\mathrm{U} \to \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ of ring spectra.]Here $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_L(z) = \vartheta_L(u)z + \dots$ is **not** a strict coordinate change, but

$$(1+\mathfrak{m}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}})^\times \ni \vartheta_L(u)^{p^k} \to 1$$

as $k \to \infty$.] The induced ring homomorphism

$$M\mathrm{U}^*(\mathrm{pt}) \to k(L)^*(\mathrm{pt}) = \mathfrak{o}_L[u] \to \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})^*(\mathrm{pt}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

of homotopy groups factors through the classifying map for F_L, defining an element of $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})^0(k(L))$ which represents the natural transformation promised in §1.2.4.2. Similarly, the maps of §3.3 define compatible orientations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M\mathrm{U} & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p,\mathrm{ab}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p)\ . \end{array}$$

IV A digression on rigid analytic geometry

§4.1 The Lubin-Tate construction is strikingly elegant, but it is difficult to understand functorially. Remarkable work of Schneider and Teitelbaum constructs an isomorphism

$$F_L \to T_L^{\vee\mathcal{X}}$$

in the category of rigid analytic groups, of (the points of) a Lubin-Tate group with the group of p-adic analytic characters (analogous to the Pontrjagin dual of classical Fourier theory) of the Tate module of the p-divisible group dual to F_L. This leads to a theory of periods for Lubin-Tate groups, apparently dual in a sense not clear to this writer, to the constructions derived from Fontaine's work described above. This section summarizes some of this material.

The existence, say for a free finitely generated $\mathfrak{o}_L$ - module M, of a natural rigid analytic character group $M^{\mathcal{X}}$, is key ([23 §1], cf also [28 §4]]. Very briefly: there is a p-adic Frechet algebra $C^{\mathrm{an}}(M, \mathbb{C}_p)$ of locally analytic (ie admitting compatible $\mathfrak{o}_L$-analytic representations on open subdiscs of M) $\mathbb{C}_p$-valued functions, and there is a contravariant functor sending M to the group (under pointwise multiplication)

$$M^{\mathcal{X}} := \{f \in C^{\mathrm{an}}(M, \mathbb{C}_p) \,|\, f(a+b) = f(a)\cdot f(b),\ f(a) \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}_p}\ (\forall a, b)\}\ .$$

Moreover:

Theorem [18 §2.3] *The convolution algebra of distributions of M (defined as the locally convex dual to $C^{\mathrm{an}}(M, \mathbb{C}_p)$) is an algebra of rigid analyic functions on $M^{\mathcal{X}}$.*

§4.2 We need next to recall that the Cartier dual of a formal group F is defined in terms of the associated p-divisible group, as the group of homomorphisms to (the p-divisible group associated to) the multiplicative formal group $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$. For our purposes it will be convenient to replace the latter with the isomorphic formal group $F_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of §2.1.1, and therefore to identify the

Tate module $T_L^\vee$ of this version of the Cartier dual with the free rank one $\mathfrak{o}_L$-module

$$\{b \in 1 + z\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}[[z]] \mid b(z +_L z') = b(z) +_{\mathbb{Q}_p} b(z')\} ;$$

following [11] (cf also [21 Theorem 3.4]), $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L)$ acts on $T_L^\vee$ as multiplication by the character inverse to $\tilde{\varkappa}_L$.

The p-adic character group $T_L^{\vee\mathcal{X}}$ of this Tate module can then be identified with the rigid analytic group $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{o}_L}(T_L^\vee, \mathfrak{o}_L^{\mathcal{X}})$, and by [23 §3.6, 28 §6.2.3] we have the

Theorem of Schneider and Teitelbaum *The composition*

$$F_L(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}_p}) \ni \alpha \mapsto \mathrm{st}_L(\alpha) := [b \mapsto [a \mapsto b([a]_L(\alpha))]] \in T_L^{\vee\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C}_p) .$$

defines a $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L)$*-equivariant isomorphism of rigid* $\mathfrak{o}_L$*-analytic groups.*

This implies the existence of an $\mathfrak{o}_L$-linear period homomorphism

$$T_L^\vee \ni b \mapsto \theta_L(b) \in \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$$

which sends any $\mathfrak{o}_L$-module generator b to a unit of $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$, such that

$$\mathrm{st}_L(b)(z) := \exp_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\rho_0\theta_L(b) \cdot \log_L(z)) .$$

Writing $\Omega_L(b) := \rho_0\theta_L(b)$ (closer to the standard notation) we have [3, 23 lemmma 3.4, 28 Prop 6.1]

$$\Omega_L(b^\sigma) = \tilde{\varkappa}_L(\sigma)^{-1}\,\Omega_L(b)$$

for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L)$; thus $\theta_L(b) \in \mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}$, and

$$\mathrm{st}_L(b^\sigma)(z) = \exp_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\varkappa_L(\sigma)^{-1}\varkappa_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\sigma) \cdot \Omega_L(b)\log_L(z)) =$$

$$= [\sigma]_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1}(\exp_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Omega(b)\log_L([\sigma]_L(z)) = ([\sigma]_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{st}(b) \circ [\sigma]_L)(z) .$$

In other words, $\theta_L(b)^{-1}$ is a remarkable unit, in the sense of §2.2.6. This has a graded reformulation:

Proposition *The composition*

$$\mathsf{st}_L(b)(z) := \mathbf{exp}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}}(\theta_L(b)\mathbf{log}_{L*}(z)) = \theta_L(b)z + \dots$$

defines a $\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathrm{ab}}/L_*)$*-equivariant homomorphism*

$$T_L^\vee \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{C}_p}}(\mathsf{F}_{L*}, \mathsf{F}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p*}})$$

sending $\mathfrak{o}_L$*-module generators to isomorphisms.*

Proof, as in §2.2.

§4.3 It is tempting to suggest that the homomorphism of §3.4.1 is (close to) an inverse for the Schneider-Teitelbaum map, ie that the formal group

associated to the spectrum $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}})$ is a kind of rigid analytic counterpart to the formal group of $k(L)$:



It is similarly tempting to think of

$$\varepsilon_L : \mathrm{Spf}\ \tilde{\mathrm{T}}(\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\mathrm{ab}}})^*(B\mu, \mathbb{Z}_p) \to \mathsf{F}_L$$

as a kind of rigid analytic analog (cf [20 Prop 5.3]) of the exponential map of classical Lie theory.

At issue is the relation between the remarkable elements $\vartheta_L(u)$ defined in §3.4.1 and $\theta_L(b)^{-1}$ defined by

$$\rho_0\theta_L : T_L^\vee \to \mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}$$

as above: both are periods of some sort, for the same class of objects.

The trace pairing

$$x, y \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}^L_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\ (x \cdot y) : \mathfrak{o}_L \times \mathfrak{o}_L \to \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$$

identifies the fractional ideal $\mathfrak{d}_L^{-1}$ with $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{o}_L, \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$, thus defining a homomorphism

$$T_L^\vee \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} T_L \to (\rho_0\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} (\rho_0\mathfrak{d}_L)^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}) \otimes T_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{o}_L, T_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} \mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}$$

which can be rewritten as a Galois-equivariant pairing

$$\mathfrak{o}_L \otimes (T_L^\vee \otimes_{\mathfrak{o}_L} T_L) \to T_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \otimes \mathfrak{o}_{L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}}\ .$$

I do not know if or how θ_L and ϑ_L might be related under this pairing.

V In place of a conclusion

> *. . . but the other side of the mountain . . .*
> *was all that he could see . . .*

Thinking of the sphere spectrum S^0 as an unfolding of $\mathbb{Z}$ raises the question of the existence of some kind of structure space for chromatic homotopy theory. The nice behavior of fields like $L_{\widehat{\mathrm{ab}}}$ suggests that local number fields L (or, more precisely, their Lubin-Tate groups) parametrize **geometrically**

interesting families of Bousfield localizations of S^0. In the 1970s, research in differential topology uncovered deep relations between stable homotopy theory (that part seen by Whitehead's J-homomorphism) and the beautiful theory of abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ developed by Kronecker and Weber. This topologist's jugendtraum [18] is that geometry over fields such as L_{ab} (or their completions!) – perhaps, for example, some topological Hochschild version of Chern-Weil theory [19 §6.2] – may be similarly related to the part of stable homotopy theory that has till now remained conceptually inaccessible.

References

1. M Ando, Isogenies of formal group laws and power operations in the cohomology theories E_n, Duke Math. J. 79 (1995) 423 - 485
2. M Atiyah, D Tall, Group representations, λ-rings and the J-homomorphism. Topology 8 (1969) 253 - 297
3. A Baker, p-adic continuous functions on rings of integers and a theorem of K. Mahler, J. London Math. Soc. 33 (1986) 414 - 420.
4. B Bhatt, Completions and derived de Rham cohomology, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.6193`
5. C Boyer, B Lawson, P Lima-Filho, B Mann, ML Michelsohn, Algebraic cycles and infinite loop spaces, Invent. Math. 113 (1993) 373 - 388
6. JM Fontaine, Formes différentielles et modules de Tate des variétés abéliennes sur les corps locaux. Invent. Math. 65 (1981/82) 379 - 409
7. ——, I Madsen, On the K-theory of local fields, Ann. Math. 158 (2003), 1 – 113
8. L. Hesselholt, On the topological cyclic homology of the algebraic closure of a local field, in **An Alpine Anthology of Homotopy Theory**: Proceedings of the Second Arolla Conference on Algebraic Topology 133 – 162, Contemp. Math. 399, AMS 2006, available at

   $$http://www.math.nagoya-u.ac.jp/\sim \texttt{larsh/papers/}$$

9. ——, Periodic topological cyclic homology and the Hasse-Weil zeta function, available at `arXiv:1602.01980`
10. T Honda, On the theory of commutative formal groups. J. Math. Soc. Japan 22 (1970) 213 – 246.
11. N Katz, Formal groups and p-adic interpolation, Journées Arithmétiques de Caen 55 - 65. Asterisque No. 41 - 42, Soc. Math. France (1977)
12. J Kohlhaase, On the Iwasawa theory of the Lubin-Tate moduli space, Compos. Math. 149 (2013) 793 - 839
13. S Lang, **Cyclotomic fields I & II**. Springer Graduate Texts 121 (1990)
14. A Lindenstrauss, I Madsen, Topological Hochschild homology of number rings, Trans. AMS 352 (2000) 2179 - 2204
15. J Lurie, M Hopkins, Harvard Thursday seminar 2015-6, notes by A Mathew
16. JP May, Topological Hochschild and cyclic homology and algebraic K-theory, available at

   $$http://www.math.uchicago.edu/\sim \texttt{may/TALKS/THHTC.pdf}$$

17. J Morava, A product for the odd-primary bordism of manifolds with singularities, Topology 18 (1979) 177 - 186
18. ——, Browder-Frölich symbols, in **Algebraic topology** (Arcata) 335 – 345, Springer LNM 1370 (1989)
19. ——, Local fields and extraordinary K-theory, available at `arXiv:1207.4011`

20. M Rapoport, Th Zink, **Period spaces for p-divisible groups**, Annals of Maths Studies 141, Princeton (1996)
21. D Ravenel, WS Wilson, The Hopf ring for complex cobordism, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 9 (1976/77) 241 - 280
22. C Rezk, The congruence criterion for power operations in Morava E-theory, available at `arXiv:0902.2499`
23. P Schneider, J Teitelbaum, p-adic Fourier theory, Doc. Math. 6 (2001) 447 - 481
24. P Scholze, Perfectoid spaces, available at `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1111.4914v1.pdf`
25. G Segal, The multiplicative group of classical cohomology, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 26 (1975) no. 103, 289 - 293
26. JP Serre, **A course in arithmetic**, Springer Grad. Texts 7 (1973)
27. ——, **Linear representations of finite groups**, Springer Grad. Texts 42 (1977)
28. E de Shalit, Mahler bases and elementary p-adic analysis, J. de Theorie de Nombres Bordeaux, 2014, available at

$$http://www.ma.huji.ac.il/ \sim \texttt{deshalit/new_site/files/Mahler.pdf}$$

29. V Snaith, The total Chern and Stiefel-Whitney classes are not infinite loop maps, Illinois J. Math. 21 (1977) 300 – 304
30. N Stapleton, A canonical lift of Frobenius in Morava E-theory, available at `arXiv:1603.04811`
31. N Strickland, Formal schemes and formal groups, in **Homotopy invariant algebraic structures** 263 - 352, Contemp. Math. 239 (1999) AMS
32. J Tate, Number theoretic background, in **Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions** 3 – 26, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. XIII, AMS (1979)
33. B Totaro, The total Chern class is not a map of multiplicative cohomology theories. Math. Z. 212 (1993) 527 - 532
34. —— Homology operations on a new infinite loop space, Trans. AMS 347 (1995) 99 - 110
35. C Wilkerson, λ-rings, binomial domains, and vector bundles over $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$, Comm. Algebra 10 (1982) 311 - 328

Department of Mathematics, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

E-mail address: `jack@math.jhu.edu`